

## § 52.1324

## 40 CFR Ch. I (7–1–11 Edition)

100,000 tpy CO<sub>2</sub>e, when such stationary source undertakes a physical change or change in the method of operation that will result in an emissions increase of 75,000 tpy CO<sub>2</sub>e or more.

(4) For purposes of this paragraph (n)—

(i) The term greenhouse gas shall mean the air pollutant defined in 40 CFR 86.1818–12(a) as the aggregate group of six greenhouse gases: Carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide, methane, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and sulfur hexafluoride.

(ii) The term tpy CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions (CO<sub>2</sub>e) shall represent an amount of GHGs emitted, and shall be computed as follows:

(A) Multiplying the mass amount of emissions (tpy), for each of the six greenhouse gases in the pollutant GHGs, by the gas's associated global warming potential published at Table A–1 to subpart A of 40 CFR part 98—Global Warming Potentials.

(B) Sum the resultant value from paragraph (n)(4)(ii)(A) of this section for each gas to compute a tpy CO<sub>2</sub>e.

(iii) The term emissions increase shall mean that both a significant emissions increase (as calculated using the procedures in 40 CFR 52.21(a)(2)(iv)) and a significant net emissions increase (as defined in 40 CFR 52.21(b)(3) and (b)(23)(i)) occur. For the pollutant GHGs, an emissions increase shall be based on tpy CO<sub>2</sub>e, and shall be calculated assuming the pollutant GHGs is a regulated NSR pollutant, and “significant” is defined as 75,000 tpy CO<sub>2</sub>e instead of applying the value in 40 CFR 52.21(b)(23)(ii).

[37 FR 10876, May 31, 1972]

EDITORIAL NOTE: For FEDERAL REGISTER citations affecting § 52.1323, see the List of CFR Sections Affected, which appears in the Finding Aids section of the printed volume and at [www.fdsys.gov](http://www.fdsys.gov).

### § 52.1324 [Reserved]

### § 52.1325 Legal authority.

(a) [Reserved]

(b) The requirements of § 51.232(b) of this chapter are not met since the following deficiencies exist in local legal authority.

(1) St. Louis County Division of Air Pollution Control:

(i) Authority to require record-keeping is lacking (§ 51.230(e) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to make emission data available to the public is inadequate because section 612.350, St. Louis County Air Pollution Control Code, requires confidential treatment in certain circumstances if the data concern secret processes (§ 51.230(f) of this chapter).

(2) St. Louis City Division of Air Pollution Control:

(i) Authority to require record-keeping is lacking (§ 51.230(e) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to require reports on the nature and amounts of emissions from stationary sources is lacking (§ 51.230(e) of this chapter).

(iii) Authority to require installation, maintenance, and use of emission monitoring devices is lacking. Authority to make emission data available to the public is inadequate because Section 39 of Ordinance 54699 requires confidential treatment in certain circumstances if the data relate to production or sales figures or to processes or production unique to the owner or operator or would tend to affect adversely the competitive position of the owner or operator (§ 51.230(f) of this chapter).

(3) Kansas City Health Department:

(i) Authority to require record-keeping is lacking (§ 51.230(e) of this chapter).

(4) Independence Health Department:

(i) Authority to require record-keeping is lacking (§ 51.230(e) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to make emission data available to the public is lacking since section 11.161 of the code of the city of Independence requires confidential treatment in certain circumstances if the data relate to secret processes or trade secrets affecting methods or results of manufacture (§ 51.230(f) of this chapter).

(5) Springfield Department of Health:

(i) Authority to abate emissions on an emergency basis is lacking (§ 51.230(c) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to require record-keeping is lacking (§ 51.230(e) of this chapter).

(iii) Authority to make emission data available to the public is inadequate

## Environmental Protection Agency

## § 52.1335

because section 2A-42 of the Springfield City Code requires confidential treatment of such data in certain circumstances (§ 51.230(f) of this chapter).

(c) The provisions of § 51.230(d) of this chapter are not met since statutory, authority to prevent construction, modification, or operation of a facility, building, structure, or installation, or combination thereof, which indirectly results or may result in emissions of any air pollutant at any location which will prevent the maintenance of a na-

tional air quality standard is not adequate.

[37 FR 23090, Oct. 28, 1972, as amended at 39 FR 7282, Feb. 25, 1974; 51 FR 13001, Apr. 17, 1986; 51 FR 40676, Nov. 7, 1986; 52 FR 24367, June 30, 1987]

### §§ 52.1326–52.1334 [Reserved]

### § 52.1335 Compliance schedules.

(a) The compliance schedule for the source identified below is approved as a revision to the plan pursuant to § 51.104 and subpart N of this chapter. All regulations cited are air pollution control regulations of the State, unless otherwise noted.

#### MISSOURI

Source	Location	Regulation involved	Adopted date	Effective date	Final compliance date
Pilot Knob Pelleting Co .....	Pilot Knob, MO	V(10 CSR 10–3.050).	Oct. 19, 1977 ..	Immediately .....	Dec. 31, 1982.
Union Electric Labadie power plant ...	Labadie, MO ...	10 CSR 10–5.090 and 10 CSR 10–5.030.	June 20, 1979	July 20, 1979 ..	Mar. 1, 1984.
St. Joe Minerals Corp., Pea Ridge Iron Ore Facility.	Washington County, MO.	10 CSR 10–3.050.	Mar. 23, 1983	Mar. 23, 1983	Dec. 31, 1988.
St. Joe Minerals Corp., Pea Ridge Iron Ore Facility.	.....do .....	.....do .....	Apr. 22, 1981 ..	Dec. 28, 1981	July 1, 1985.
Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc., Thomas Hill Power Plant—Unit 1.	Randolph County, MO.	10 CSR 10–3.060 and 10 CSR 10–3.080.	June 17, 1981	Jan. 12, 1982 ..	June 1, 1984.
American Oil Co. (AMOCO) .....	Sugar Creek, MO.	10 CSR 10–2.260.	Feb. 18, 1981	Oct. 1, 1981 .....	June 1, 1982.
St. Joe Lead Co .....	Herculaneum, MO.	§ 203.050.1(5) RSM01978.	Aug. 15, 1980	Immediately .....	Oct. 27, 1984.
AMAX Lead Co .....	Boss, MO .....	.....do .....	.....do .....	.....do .....	Apr. 27, 1985.

(b) The compliance schedule submitted for the source identified below is disapproved as not meeting the requirements of subpart N of this chap-

ter. All regulations cited are air pollution control regulations of the State, unless otherwise noted.

Source	Location	Regulation involved	Date adopted
Columbia Water & Light Department .....	Columbia .....	S-VI .....	Apr. 25, 1973.
Union Electric: Electric generating facility .....	Labadie .....	X .....	Mar. 28, 1974.
Do .....	Portage des Sioux .....	X .....	July 25, 1974.
International Multifoods Corp.: Mechanical sifters .....	North Kansas City .....	( <sup>1</sup> ) .....	Aug. 31, 1976.
Meremac Mining Co., furnace and cooler Nos. 1 through 5 .....	Pea Ridge .....	II (10 CSR 10–3.050).	Feb. 23, 1977.
Empire District Electric Co., Power Plant .....	Asbury Joplin .....	III (10 CSR 10–3.060) V (10 CSR 10–3.080).	Apr. 27, 1977.
Missouri Portland Cement Co., clinker cooler No. 1 .....	Sugar Creek .....	II (10 CSR 10–2.030) V (10 CSR 10–2.060).	June 22, 1977.
Missouri Public Service Co., Sibley powerplant, unit Nos. 1, 2, and 3.	Sibley .....	III (10 CSR 10–2.040).	June 26, 1977.